

I. Applications of Multiple Comparison Test

II. Assumptions: same as ANOVA; normal populations, equal variances

- appears to be robust to violations of assumptions
- violation of equal variances more serious

III. Hypotheses:

$H_0: \mu_A = \mu_B$ $H_A: \mu_A \neq \mu_B$ A & B represent any possible pair of groups
 if k groups, $k(k - 1)/2$ different pairwise comparisons

IV. Tukey Test:

A. **Step 1:** arrange & number all sample means in order of increasing magnitude

B. **Step 2:** calculate pairwise differences, $\bar{X}_B - \bar{X}_A$

C. **Step 3:** calculate “q”, analogous to “t” in t-test:

$$q = \frac{\bar{X}_B - \bar{X}_A}{SE} \qquad SE = \sqrt{\frac{\text{error MS}}{n}}$$

if sample sizes unequal, $SE = \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n_A} + \frac{1}{n_B} \right)}$

D. **Step 4:** compare w/ critical value, $q_{\alpha, v, k}$ Zar, Table B5

$\alpha = P\{\text{make at least one type I error}\}$, not $P(\text{type I error for a given comparison})$
 → if $q_{\text{calc}} \geq q_{\alpha, v, k}$ then reject H_0

E. Order of comparisons

- 1) 1st, largest mean vs. smallest
- 2) 2nd, largest mean vs. next smallest
- 3) etc.

F. If cannot conclude difference betw/ 2 means, cannot conclude diff betw/ any means enclosed
 ⇒ do not test for differences in means enclosed by non-significant differences

G. Dealing w/ ambiguity in results

$\bar{X}_1 \quad \bar{X}_2 \quad \bar{X}_3 \quad \bar{X}_4$

 ⇒ samples 1&2 from different pop. than 2,3,4
 impossible (2 from >1 pop)
 conc: $\mu_1 \neq \mu_3 = \mu_4$, but cannot determine μ_2

H. Single-Factor ANOVA more powerful than multiple comparison test
 (Type II errors more likely in multiple comparison test)