## **Research Project Report Evaluation**

Name:	
Evaluation Summary  1. Abstract (20 pts)  2. Introduction (10 pts)  3. Methods (10 pts)  4. Results (20 pts)  5. Discussion (20 pts)  6. Figures & Tables (10 pts)  7. Literature Cited (5 pts)  8. Writing (5 pts)	
<b>Total</b> (100 pts)	
Grading Scale: $0 = \text{unacceptable}$ $1 = \text{insufficie}$ $3 = \text{good effort and accomplishment}$	nt effort 2 = minimum acceptability 4 = exceptional effort and accomplishment
1. Abstract (20 points)	Score:
Convincing rationale for research, with well	1-framed question and hypothesis?
Concise description of approach to evaluate	e hypotheses?
Clear statement of results?	
Clear statement of conclusion and interpret	ation?
Abstract provides concise summary of entire	re report?
2. Introduction (10 points)	Score:
Clear and complete description of what rep	ort is about and the question(s) addressed?
Convincing rationale for the general research	ch topic?
Review of what is known currently about the	ne topic?
3. Methods (10 points)	Score:
Clear and complete description of techniqu	es, procedures, and study locations?
Description contains sufficient detail for ot	hers to repeat or compare the work?
Methods suitable to obtain reliable results t	o address the question(s) and hypotheses?
4. Results (20 points)	Score:
Results presented in logical order, consistent	nt with methods?
Results complete, but text not redundant w	th figures and tables?
Clear and accurate presentation of data and	analysis?
Appropriate and valid statistical analysis?	
Text cites all attached figures and tables?	

## **Research Project Report Evaluation**

5. Discussion (20 points)	Score:
Clear interpretation of results, related to que introduction? (The discussion should address objectives? How did results compare with passumptions of tested hypotheses were met,	oredictions of hypotheses? Which
Results interpreted correctly?	
Clear discussion of significance of results?	
Insightful comparison of results with similar	ar or related work?
Candid discussion of limitations of the rese	arch and sources of error or uncertainty?
Appropriate recommendations for further re	esearch?
6. Figures and Tables (10 points)	Score:
Figures and tables help reader interpret resu	ults?
Captions and legends provide enough information report?	mation to identify results without reading
Figures illustrate results clearly, without mi	isleading or distracting visual effects?
7. Literature Cited (5 points)	Score:
Proper style, correct order, matches citation	as in body of report?
Appropriate list of sources?	
8. Writing (5 points)	Score:
Paragraph construction, sentence structure, and accurately?	and word choice communicate ideas clearly
No spelling errors and minimal grammatica	al errors?
Clear report organization?	

eval\_rp3.pdf JM: rev 5/31/2005